



60-2024 ADDENDUM 2

PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR SEWPCC & WEWPCC SLUDGE HOLDING TANKS REFURBISHMENT

URGENT

**PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO
WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE
BID/PROPOSAL**

ISSUED: March 5, 2024
BY: Arash Kiayee, M.Sc., P.Eng.
TELEPHONE NO. (204) 918-1391

**THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED
INTO THE BID/PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM
A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS**

Template Version: A20160708

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Bid/Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 10 of Form A: Bid/Proposal may render your Bid/Proposal non-responsive.

PART D – SUPPLEMENTAL CONDITIONS

Revise B2.1 to read: The Submission Deadline is 12:00 noon Winnipeg time, **March 13, 2024.**

PART E – APPENDICES

Revise E1.1: Additional drawings regarding the existing odor control system.

Note: The link to access the additional photos and drawings will be emailed to proponents who signed Appendix B - Non-Disclosure Agreement.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q1: A 'spot ventilator' as part of the odour control system that is connected to the thermal oxidizer (TO) is mentioned in a few places in the "Odour Control Conceptual Design Development Technical Memorandum, 2013". When on site I do not recall seeing this, can you provide further details of this spot ventilator?

A1: The sludge holding tank exhaust fan is EF-S692 and the "spot ventilator" is the truck exhaust fan EF-S694. These are the only two flows that go into the TO.

Q2: A 'foul air duct' as part of the odour control system is mentioned in a few places in "Odour Control Conceptual Design Development Technical Memorandum, 2013". Can further details of where this duct is and its function, etc. be provided? The TM is recommending to consider re-routing the discharge duct of the thermal oxidizer to a location further downstream of this foul air duct and. The TM also notes in its schematic to connect the TO discharge to the 47 m tall 3700 mm diameter stack which does not apply anymore based on the current configuration.

A2: The information of the 2013 technical memorandum regarding the foul air duct connection is outdated. The foul air duct is buried under ground and has been disconnected from the original odor control system east of the Secondary Clarifier 2 Fan House (see Dwg. A007). All exhaust flow from the Secondary Clarifiers 1 & 2, Secondary Clarifier 3, sludge holding tanks, and truck ventilation are connected to the foul air duct and then vented via Ventilation Stack 2 (see Dwg. A003 & T302). See original and updated drawings for comparison.

Q3: In the RFP, the requirements provided in section D.11 appear to be contradictory. One clause states:

D11.4 General Requirements

- (a) Provide **two (2) comprehensive sets of detailed design documents and tender services to allow the City to engage two (2) separate contractors to construct the work at each facility.**

Another states:

- (ii) Providing the construction funds are available and as directed by the Consulting Contract Administrator, the Consultant shall provide the City with one (1) Detailed Tender Package (instead of two) for implementation of the refurbishment work at both facilities under one (1) project.

It is unclear how the work will be tendered. Will it be as two separate stand-alone tenders, one for the SEWPCC and another for the WEWPCC, or as one tender for both?

A3: If sufficient funds are not available, two stand-alone projects will be tendered, SEWPCC in 2024-2025, and WEWPCC in 2026 (tentative). If funds are available, one tender will be issued for both plants, giving priority to SEWPCC (in case Contractor's resources is limited). The project team should know of the available construction budget at the end of the detailed design prior to preparation of tender documents. At the moment, it is not known if the City can provide enough funding to implement the two projects at the same time in 2024-2025. The City wants to ensure that Proponents' fee proposals address both scenarios.

Q4: Will one or two different contractors be selected for this work?

A4: It depends on the availability of funds. If funds are available, one contractor will be selected to implement the refurbishment works at both plants. If funds are not available, each refurbishment work will have to be tendered separately.

Q5: Will construction take place at the same time at both sites or will it be staged with one site given priority over the other?

A5: The City has no issue with having construction at both sites at the same time, providing the Contractor has enough resources and capacity to handle both works concurrently (and availability of funding).