

321-2016 ADDENDUM 1

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR PROFESSIONAL CONSULTING SERVICES FOR ANNUAL FLOOD MANUAL UPDATES

URGENT

PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

ISSUED: June 23, 2016
BY: Luis Almeida
TELEPHONE NO. 204 - 986-8683

THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

Template Version: Ar20150806

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Request for Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 9 of Form A: Proposal may render your Proposal non-responsive.

PART D – SUPPLEMENTAL CONDITIONS

Revise: D4.4 (b) (xxii) to read:

D4.4 (b) (xxii) Upload SRB documents and link them to their corresponding procedures as required.

Questions & Answers

- Q1** Item D4.4 (b) (xiii) – Upload photos/PDF documentation for up to 10 temporary pumping locations with the option of adding additional locations. Information to be provided by the Water and Waste Department.
- (a) Our understanding from past FM Annual Updates discussions is that this task is to create a step by step set of instructions and diagrams with photos for City crews to set up temporary flood pumping locations with instructions and photographs kind of like a PDD. Is this still valid?
 - (b) If the first bullet is correct, who is creating the document with the instructions? The Proponent is of course uploading the final document to the FM, we're just not clear on if the Proponent is also creating the documentation based on information provided by WWD or if the information provided by WWD is to be uploaded directly without any review/modification/document development by the Proponent.
- A1** The City will be creating documentation for our temporary pumping locations and the Proponent is to only upload the documents into the Flood Manual and link them to their corresponding procedures.
- Q2** Item D4.4 (b) (xxvi) – Using GIS, review and update secondary dike alignment to ensure conformity to the secondary dike corridor. A revision of the trigger, activation and quantities will be required.
- (a) The SLD is formally defined in select locations only by Schedule A of City By-law No. 7600/2000 which include Lord Ave, Kingston Row, Kingston Crescent and Scotia Streets. There has been internal City and Consultant discussion about extending the corridor to other locations in the City, but the four locations are the only ones defined to date.
 - (b) Based on the above, is the Proponent reviewing the four identified locations (i.e. all properties identified in the By-law) for conformity and potential revision of the procedures trigger, activation and quantities, or any specific properties for changes since the 2013 FM Updates was completed? Or do you have other locations in mind where there is no formally defined corridor according to the By-law?

A2 The Proponent is to review the secondary dike corridor locations identified in By-Law No. 7600/2000 for conformity and potential revision of the procedures trigger, activation and quantities.

Q3 Item D4.4 (b) (xxxv) – Update isometric drawings for up to 39 FPS

(a) What kind of updates are required? We believe this is to add the CSO monitoring equipment to the drawings. Are example drawing(s) available that can be used to estimate the level of effort involved in the updates? (e.g. one FPS location typical of the other 38)

A3 Since installing combined sewer overflow monitoring equipment at our flood pump stations, there have been several isometric drawings that have been updated to show the location and type of equipment that has been installed. The updated isometric drawings are to be added in addition to the existing isometric drawings currently in the Flood Manual. The Proponent is to only upload the updated isometric drawings and combine them with the existing isometric drawings currently in the Flood Manual.