



989-2015 ADDENDUM No.1

DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF THE WADING POOL, SPRAY PAD AND BUILDING MODIFICATIONS AT MACHRAY PARK

ISSUED: January 6, 2016
BY: Robyn Gibson
TELEPHONE NO. 204-944-9907

URGENT

PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

Template Version: Ar20150806

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Request for Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 9 of Form A: Proposal may render your Proposal non-responsive.

PART A – PROPOSAL SUBMISSION

Replace: 989-2015 Proposal Submission with 989-2015 Addendum No.1 - Proposal Submission. The following is a summary of changes incorporated in the replacement Proposal Submission:

Form B (R1): Revise Items No. 26, No. 31, No. 32, No. 34, and add No. 27.

Page numbering on some forms may be changed as a result.

PART B – BIDDING PROCEDURES

Add: **B23. ELIGIBILITY**

B23.1 As a result of their involvement in the Project, Voitec Architecture Inc., Crosier Kilgour & Partners Ltd., SMS Engineering Ltd., and JR Cousin Consultants Ltd., or their affiliates, are not eligible to participate as a Consultant, Subconsultant, member of consortium etc. for 989-2015 Design and Construction of the Wading Pool, Spray Pad and Building Modifications at Machray Park

PART E – SPECIFICATIONS

Revise: E8.1.2 to read: Removal of pea gravel and replacement with wood fibar complete with drainage system as noted on the drawings; play structures are to be protected and remain; any damage to existing play structures will be made right by the Contractor at no expense to the City

Revise: E28.18.1 to read: New overhead **or underground** utility service connection and service entry panel to be provided.

Revise: E40.1.1 to read: This specification shall cover the **pick-up** and installation of benches, picnic tables, and waste receptacles as shown on the drawings.

Add: **E46. TIMBER EDGING**

E46.1 General Description

E46.1.1 The Contractor shall furnish all labour, materials, equipment and services necessary to complete the Work according to the drawings and specifications.

- E46.1.2 This specification shall cover the supply and installation of two-course timber edging to contain the wood fibre safety surfacing in the new play area as per Drawings.
- E46.2 Materials and Method
- E46.2.1 Cap and screws shall be as per SCD-651 and SCD-651A.
- E46.2.2 All wood for the bottom rows shall be pressure treated spruce, pine or fir, No. 2 or better, no wane, bark, checking or splitting permitted. Wood shall be smooth and free of rough areas. All timbers to be 140 x 140mm with a minimum length of 1200mm. All ends and cuts shall be treated with preservative before being secured. Use longest lengths possible.
- E16.2.3 Edging material shall be cut neatly for a proper fit with no spaces or gaps between. Finished elevations shall ensure that all edging material is smooth, level, set plumb and spaced uniformly. Joints to be butt joints. Joints in straight runs are to be lapped over timber by a minimum of 600mm.
- E46.2.4 Turf shall be repaired as required around edging in accordance with City of Winnipeg Standard Specifications for Topsoil and Sodding.
- E46.3 Method of Measurement and Basis of Payment]
- E46.3.1 Method of Measurement shall be as follows:
- (a) Timber Edging will be measured on a linear metre basis for: "two-course timber edging" on Form B: Prices.
- E46.3.2 Basis of Payment shall be as follows:
- (a) Timber edging will be paid for at the Contract Unit Prices. The amount to be paid for shall be the total number of units, as indicated on Form B: Prices. This price shall be full payment for supplying all labour, equipment and materials, and performing all operations herein described and all other items incidental to the Work and as accepted by the Contract Administrator.

Clarification of E42.8.4 (a): "... to receive pre-laminated FRP finished wall." is meant to include the supply and installation of the FRP panels by the Contractor as part of the Work.

Clarification of E42.8.5 (c): "... to receive pre-laminated FRP finished wall." is meant to include the supply and installation of the FRP panels by the Contractor as part of the Work.

APPENDICIES

Replace: 989-2015_Appendix_B_Structural_Assessment with 989-2015_Appendix_B_Structural_Assessment_R1

Replace: 989-2015_Appendix_C_Electrcial_Design_Brief_&_Outline_Specification_R3 with 989-2015_Appendix_C_Electrical_Design_Brief_&_Outline_Specification_R4

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

Question: Is the Contractor obligated to coordinate with and carry the cost of Manitoba Hydro work in providing new electrical service?

Answer: Yes. See E28.19 of the Specifications and clause 1.5.1 of 989-2015 Appendix C Electrical Design Brief & Outline Specification R4.

Question: Can the new electrical service be underground as opposed to overhead?

Answer: Yes, per clause 1.5.1 of 989-2015 Appendix C Electrical Design Brief & Outline Specification R4, the new service can be either overhead or underground. Underground is preferred, but only if the costs (including the cost from Manitoba Hydro to bring the service underground) remain within the project budget as shown in Form B (R1): Prices.

Question: Which existing light standards are intended for potential replacement and separate pricing?

Answer: Clause 1.12 of 989-2015 Appendix C Electrical Design Brief & Outline Specification R3 had been deleted; separate pricing is no longer required. See above and attached 989-2015 Appendix C Electrical Design Brief & Outline Specification R4.

Question: Is there an existing geotechnical report? Is a geotechnical report required?

Answer: No. There is not a geotechnical report on file and we expect that one will not be needed; aside from the helical screw piles for the exterior lighting, piles are not required. The wading pool does not require piles, and the spray feature will be on footings as needed.

Question: The current City water supply line is 1-1/2". Is this sufficient? Should this line be upgraded to a 2" line?

Answer: Per 989-2015 Appendix E Existing System Assessment and Design Concept-Civil R1, the spray pad shall include - all equipment required for proper operations, including piping; and the system shall consist of new underground piping as is required for the proposed spray features. The City supply line size requirement will be dependant on the spray pad design; we would expect that the Contractor would consider the flow and pressure requirements for the spray pad system they are proposing, and size water lines (including City water service) as/if required to meet these requirements. As the number of spray features is to be maximised, there may be some trade off between the number/type of spray feature and the City supply line size.

Question: The current City wastewater sewer line is probably 4"; the new drain line from the spray pad and wading pool is to be 6", does a new 6" wastewater line need to be installed to the City sewer main?

Answer: Per E28.14.1 and 989-2015 Appendix E Existing System Assessment and Design Concept-Civil R1, the Contractor is to provide a new wastewater sewer line to the City sewer main, sized to accommodate both the spray pad and waiting pool systems.

Question: 989-2015 Appendix A Architectural Evaluation Report calls for repainting of the exterior, including graffiti protection coating. Is the new Hardie Board cladding to have graffiti protecting as well?

Answer: Yes, graffiti protection coating is to be applied to the entire exterior of the building.

Question: In 989-2015 Appendix A Architectural Evaluation Report new vents, eaves and downspouts are called for. Are new soffits required as well?

Answer: No soffits. The new vents will be as required to provide sufficient attic ventilation.

Question: Is the existing cladding to be replaced to a ±2' height or ±3' height.

Answer: ±3' height; Appendix B Structural Assessment has been revised to reflect same.

Question: 989-2015 Appendix A Architectural Evaluation Report refers to double doors with a minimum 1800mm opening may be required for the mechanical room, but the Schematic Layout Plan for the building modifications only show single door opening. Which one is correct?

Answer: 989-2015 Appendix A Architectural Evaluation Report states that the to double doors may be required; as it is anticipated that a single door opening (39") will be sufficient, this is what is shown on the Schematic Layout Plan. The size of the opening will be dependent on the design and equipment size of the mechanical equipment.

Question: Will the existing one to two-courses of timber edging be sufficient for containing the new fibar play surfacing?

Answer: Existing timber to be replaced; see above and attached Form B (R1): Prices.