



## 599-2015 ADDENDUM 2

### REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATION DESIGN BUILD OF THE CITY OF WINNIPEG'S NORTH END SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT POWER SUPPLY UPGRADE PROJECT

#### URGENT

**PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE BID OPPORTUNITY**

ISSUED: February 2, 2016  
BY: Adam Pawlikewich  
TELEPHONE NO. 204 896-1209

**THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED INTO THE BID OPPORTUNITY AND SHALL FORM A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS**

Template Version: A20150806

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Bid Opportunity, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph (q) of Form A may render your Bid non-responsive.

#### PART B – BIDDING PROCEDURES

Revise: B7.3 to read: Estimated Preliminary Schedule

Phase	Approximate Date(s)
1. RFQ Open Period	December 2015 to <b>February 2016</b>
2. Evaluation/Shortlist of Proponents	April 2016
3. RFP Open Period	May 2016 to September 2016
4. Project Award	February 2017
5. Design and Construction Start	February 2017
6. Substantial Completion/Construction End	December 2018

Revise: B27.4 to read: Each section should contain pages (standard 8.5" x 11") using a printing font with an 11 pitch. **Tables, graphics and charts may be printed on 11"x17" page size(s).**

Revise: B33.2 to read: The Construction Team Lead Members shall submit:

- (a) a letter of reference from a surety indicating its capacity to obtain a performance security in the range of \$15M to \$25M;
- (b) a letter from an insurer licensed to carry out business in the Province of Manitoba indicating the ability to provide insurance requirements outlined in B23.2, **alternatively a letter from the Broker would be acceptable provided it outlines the full names of Insurers that will be providing the coverage;** and
- (c) a valid Certificate of Recognition (COR) recognized in Manitoba or a letter/report from an independent reviewer that confirms compliance with Manitoba legislative

requirements. (i) Reviewer template and a list of reviewers acceptable to the City are available on the City's website at <http://www.winnipeg.ca/matmgt/Safety/default.stm>.

Add: B32.2.1 (j):

**Process for scope, schedule, and cost control.**

Revise: B32.2.2 to read:

~~Process for scope, schedule, and cost control.~~ Describe the Design Team's approach to the design of projects, having specific regard to:

- (a) Design management;
- (b) Approach to coordination with Manitoba Hydro;(c) HV and MV electrical distribution system design;
- (d) Safety;
- (e) Specification and procurement of HV and MV switching equipment, transformers and arc resistant switchgear;
- (f) Design for subsequent development;
- (g) Design of Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) automation and control systems, including integration of MV switchgear, building systems, security systems, fire detection systems, and surveillance systems;
- (h) Electrical studies, including grounding system studies, and arc flash hazard studies;
- (i) Building design, including geotechnical and structural engineering as pertaining to building foundations, mechanical engineering as pertaining to HVAC;
- (j) Work site development, including civil engineering as pertaining to temporary works and site drainage;
- (k) Maintaining operations while the Project is under construction;
- (l) Commissioning of power systems with high availability, redundancy and reliability requirements;
- (m) Management of construction staging;
- (n) Quality management (quality planning, control and assurance); and
- (o) Building for durability, safety and ease of operation and maintenance.

Revise: B32.2.4 to read:

The same items are ~~indicated in~~ identified multiple times in B32.2.1, B32.2.2 and/or B32.2.3. These items should be answered with respect to the activities performed by either the Project Management Team, Design Team or Construction Team.

Revise: B33.2.1 to read:

Important Note: Proponents are cautioned that failure to produce the items indicated in B33.2 (a) to (c) **shall** lead to the disqualification of a Proponent from the RFQ Process.

Revise: B33.3.1 to read:

Important Note: Proponents are cautioned that failure to produce the items indicated in B33.3 (a) to (b) **shall** lead to the disqualification of a Proponent from the RFQ Process.

Revise: B38.1 to read:

The City will not open Qualification Submissions publicly. The City will evaluate the Qualification Submissions in accordance with the following steps:

- (a) Step 1: The Qualification Submissions will be reviewed to determine whether they are substantially complete. The following will apply during a substantial completeness review:
  - (i) The substantial completeness review will assess whether the required information and forms have been substantially completed and included in the Qualification Submission
  - (ii) A Proponent's failure to provide a substantially complete

Qualification Submission will result in the Qualification Submission not being evaluated

- (iii) For the purposes of this RFQ Process, “substantially complete” means that all documents have been submitted as required by this RFQ and have been completed without any major gaps in the information
  - (iv) For clarity, “substantially complete” is not a test of “absolute completeness”, and shall not be interpreted in a manner that restricts the City’s rights under B35.
- (b) **Step 2: The evaluation team established by the City will evaluate the relevant portions of those Qualification Submissions that pass the substantial completeness review. The teams will evaluate, score and rank the Qualification Submissions in accordance with the Evaluation Criteria set out in B37;**
  - (c) **Step 3: Reference checks will be carried out for the three (3) highest ranked Proponents; and**
  - (d) **Step 4: Subject to B4.8 and B4.9, the Contract Administrator will contact all Proponents to inform them whether or not they have been identified as a Prequalified Party.**